

Serial No.: 09/745,091

Attorney Docket No.: 2000P7662US01REMARKSRECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

OCT 02 2006

Claims 1-20 are pending.

Claims 1-4, 6-9, 11-14, and 16-20 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 7,006,487 ("Ma"). Applicants respectfully submit that the claimed invention is not taught, suggested, or implied by Ma.

As described in the Specification, aspects of the present invention relate to testing H.450 supplementary service features. According to an implementation of the invention, an H.450 test client is provided. The H.450 test client can send and receive H.450 application protocol data units in any H.225 message. The test client allows for the setting of desired H.450 parameters and allows for the display of the H.450 message itself.

More particularly, embodiments of the present invention allow a user to selectively define and send and receive any H.450 protocol data unit (PDU) in any H.225 message. Further, embodiments of the present invention allow the user to send test H.225 messages, with or without H.450 APDUs. If an H.450 APDU is to be sent, the user can select the specific H.450 APDU and any combination of information elements and network facility extension. The messages are then sent and received. The System performance can then be observed.

Thus, claim 1 and 11 recite "providing a test program configured to allow a user to selectively build and view H.450 APDUs;" claim 6 and 16 recite "providing a test program adapted to allow a user to selectively specify and display an H.225 message for sending to other systems;" and claim 19 recites "a test program configured to allow a user to selectively build and view supplementary service APDUs."

In contrast, Ma merely provides an H.323 telecommunications system using H.450 APDU's that is also backward-compatible with a Bellcore proprietary interface (GR-1129-CORE). While Ma implements a GR-1129-CORE "STR" message by use of the H.450.1 APDU (Col. 4, line 30) nowhere does Ma contain a hint that, once added, a test facility is or indeed can be provided, wherein a user can build, view and receive supplementary service APDU's, as generally recited in the claims at issue. Indeed, Ma does not appear to even include or mention the word "test." Moreover, Ma does not

Serial No.: 09/745,091

Attorney Docket No.: 2000P7662US01

appear to provide a facility that allows a user to build and view any desired APDU, as generally recited in the claims at issue. To the extent that APDUs are used, they do not appear to be selectable or buildable by a user; nor does it appear that a user can, if desired, view the resulting APDU.

Thus if anything, Ma is representative of problems solved by embodiments of the present invention, which allow users to specify APDUs and view APDUs for network testing. As recited in the claims, a test program according to implementations of the present invention allows a user to selectively build and view supplementary service APDUs.

As such, the Examiner is respectfully requested to reconsider and withdraw the rejection.


Claims 5, 10, and 15 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 as being unpatentable over Ma. Ma has been discussed above. For similar reasons, Applicant believes these dependent claims are likewise patentable over Ma.

For all of the above reasons, Applicants respectfully submit that the application is in condition for allowance, which allowance is earnestly solicited.

Date: 2 Oct. 06

Respectfully submitted,

SIEMENS CORPORATION
Customer Number: 28524
Intellectual Property Department
170 Wood Avenue South
Iselin, New Jersey 08830
ATTENTION: Elsa Keller, IP Department
Telephone: (732) 321-3026

By: 
David D. Chung
Registration No. 38,409
Attorney for Applicants
Tel: 650-694-5339
Fax: 650-968-4517